

The Carbon Conundrum

From The RBC Global Equity Team

Introduction

Asset owners, consultants and managers find themselves at the centre of the carbon conundrum, facing the need to respond to the environmental concerns of stakeholders while also maximising returns. Recent conversations with asset owners and consultants have revealed a thirst for additional information regarding carbon risk. The aim of this short paper is to frame the arguments around the different approaches with the aim of facilitating informed debate.

Tough Choices

The argument in favour of isolating carbon as an investment theme rests upon the strengthening consensus that burning fossil fuels contributes to global warming. Advocates of this view argue, sometimes passionately, that there is a social or political imperative to cease carbon emissions if humankind is to secure a sustainable environment. They consequently do not want to see their capital used to support businesses that add to the problem, leading them to advocate outright divestment. But even investors who take a purely financial approach are closely following the ‘stranded assets’ argument, which asserts that future political restrictions on the burning of fossil fuels could lead to some carbon reserves becoming too expensive to extract and therefore ‘stranded’. While there are disagreements regarding the likelihood of those political restrictions, considering the potential impact of carbon restrictions on an investment case is in every investor’s own interest.

Information and Scope

Even though there is agreement that carbon release has negative externalities, it is harder to quantify the amount of carbon being released. Some companies calculate and disclose the amount of carbon emitted, with countries like France making this a legal obligation. In order not to penalise large businesses carbon efficiency can then be calculated by dividing sales by units of carbon released. But there are difficulties:

- Data collection methods by firms vary and results are not audited
- Only around a third of global companies disclose carbon emissions forcing investors to rely on imprecise estimates for the rest
- Data is company specific and often excludes carbon released in the total value-chain. So an oven manufacturer’s emissions will ignore those from the customer’s use of that oven.

This last point about ‘scope’ raises challenges about where to define an acceptable level of carbon emission. Should we penalise just the energy generators or should distribution networks be included? What about manufacturing processes

that use energy or consumer’s demand for the products and services they create?

Lack of data, non-comparability of data and questions around materiality make it difficult to apply a systematic screen for each industry to exclude high carbon emitters. For example, an electric car factory may emit more carbon than a traditional one in terms of the carbon to sales ratio, but its product addresses a bigger issue.

Investment strategies

We present two options for interested investors to consider:

Divestment – excludes all fossil fuel companies

<u>Pros:</u>	<u>Cons:</u>
Simple to implement	Lose ability to influence corporate behaviour
Easily understood	Doesn’t address uses of energy
	Restricts portfolio diversification

Engagement – discuss with fossil fuel producers their plans for dealing with potential restrictions on carbon emissions and their overall strategy to manage their transition to a lower carbon economy

<u>Pros:</u>	<u>Cons:</u>
Influence behaviour over investment and disclosure	Active over-sight requires additional time
Consistent with ESG principles of investment	May not satisfy the carbon activist

Practical steps

- Encourage improved corporate disclosure of carbon emissions and related strategy
- Adopt the principles of a carbon monitoring agency such as the Carbon Disclosure Project
- Engage with scheme beneficiaries to better understand their concerns
- Asset owners sensitive to carbon may consider mandating specific carbon limits for managers or implementing a carbon-aware benchmark
- Allocate capital to renewable energy solutions
- Implement ESG investment principles to fully understand and manage carbon-related risks

For more information, please contact us at:
RBCGAMUKmarketing@rbc.com

This document is being provided by RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited, part of RBC Global Asset Management. This document may not be reproduced in whole or part, and may not be delivered to any person without the consent of RBC Global Asset Management. This document is not a solicitation of any offer to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument or to participate in any investment strategy and should not be construed as tax or legal advice. Not all products, services or investments described herein are available in all jurisdictions and some are available on a limited basis only, due to local regulatory and legal requirements.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. The information contained in this report has been compiled by RBC Global Asset Management and/or its affiliates from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied is made to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. With all investments there is a risk of loss of all or a portion of the amount invested.

This document contains the current opinions of RBC Global Asset Management and is not intended to be, and should not be interpreted as, a recommendation of any particular security, strategy or investment product. Unless otherwise indicated, all information and opinions herein are as of the date of this document. All information and opinions herein are subject to change without notice.

RBC Global Asset Management is the name used for certain investment advisory subsidiaries of the Royal Bank of Canada. RBC Global Asset Management is the asset management division of Royal Bank of Canada and includes RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc., RBC Global Asset Management Inc., RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited, RBC Alternative Asset Management Inc. and BlueBay Asset Management LLP, which are separate, but affiliated, corporate entities.

RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

©/™ Trademark(s) of Royal Bank of Canada. Publication date: 16 October 2015. GUK/15/063/MAY16/A



**RBC Global
Asset Management**